

# EENG 426/CPSC 459/ENAS 876

## Silicon Compilation

### Production rule synthesis

Computer Systems Lab

<http://csl.yale.edu/~rajit>

Fall 2018

# Convert HSE to PRS

Transforms a handshaking expansion into a set of production rules.

- state assignment
- guard strengthening
- symmetrization

May have to reshuffle to improve production rules!

# Convert HSE to PRS

CHP:

$$*[[\bar{L} \longrightarrow R; L]]$$

Handshaking:

$L$ : passive, since it is probed.

$R$ : active, since matches passive  $L$

$$*[[li]; ro\uparrow; [ri]; ro\downarrow; [\neg ri]; lo\uparrow; [\neg li]; lo\downarrow]$$

# Syntactic guards

We begin with a production rule set that is **syntactically derived** from the original program:

$$\begin{aligned} li &\mapsto ro\uparrow \\ ri &\mapsto ro\downarrow \\ \neg ri &\mapsto lo\uparrow \\ \neg li &\mapsto lo\downarrow \end{aligned}$$

Each action is guarded by the wait immediately before it.

If the handshaking expansion is deadlock-free, it is always possible to execute the syntactic production rules in program order.

# Syntactic guards

Other execution orders may be possible!

Example:

$\neg ri \mapsto lo \uparrow$

$\neg li \mapsto lo \downarrow$

can fire in the initial state.

To prevent incorrect firings, we must reduce the number of states in which a production rule can fire by **strengthening** the guard.

The guard must be strong enough to uniquely identify the state(s) of the handshaking expansion in which the rule must fire.

Where can  $ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$  fire?

# Systematic approach

State of the circuit as a vector  $(li, lo, ri, ro)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & * [ \{X000\} [li]; \{1000\} ro\uparrow; \{10X1\} [ri]; \{1011\} ro\downarrow; \\ & \quad \{10X0\} [\neg ri]; \{1000\} lo\uparrow; \{X100\} [\neg li]; \{0100\} lo\downarrow \\ & ] \end{aligned}$$

Environment:

$$\begin{aligned} & * [ li\uparrow; [lo]; li\downarrow; [\neg lo] ] \\ & || \\ & * [ [ro]; ri\uparrow; [\neg ro]; ri\downarrow ] \end{aligned}$$

# Systematic approach

States in which  $\neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$  can fire:

\*  $[\bullet[li]; \bullet ro\uparrow; \bullet[ri]; ro\downarrow; \bullet[\neg ri]; \bullet lo\uparrow; \bullet[\neg li]; \bullet lo\downarrow]$

States in which  $\neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$  has effective firings:

\*  $[\bullet[li]; \bullet ro\uparrow; \bullet[ri]; ro\downarrow; \bullet[\neg ri]; \bullet lo\uparrow; [\neg li]; lo\downarrow]$

States in which  $\neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$  has undesirable effective firings:

\*  $[\bullet[li]; \bullet ro\uparrow; \bullet[ri]; ro\downarrow; [\neg ri]; lo\uparrow; [\neg li]; lo\downarrow]$



# Systematic approach

States in which  $\neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$  has undesirable effective firings or could cause interference (**conflicting set**):

$$* [\bullet [li]; \bullet ro\uparrow; \bullet [ri]; ro\downarrow; [\neg ri]; lo\uparrow; [\neg li]; \bullet lo\downarrow]$$

States in which  $\neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$  **must** fire:

$$* [[li]; ro\uparrow; [ri]; ro\downarrow; \bullet [\neg ri]; \bullet lo\uparrow; [\neg li]; lo\downarrow]$$

$\Rightarrow$  select guard so that this is the case.

# Guard strengthening

Consider  $B \mapsto x \uparrow$

HSE:

...;  $x \uparrow$ ; ...;  $x \downarrow$ ; ...;  $x \uparrow$ ;

**firing set:** set of states in which the rule could fire.  
(Determined by  $B$ )

**disallowed set:** set of states in which the production rule firing is not allowed because of interference or violation of HSE

**Conflicting set:** intersection of firing and disallowed set, should be empty!

# State variables

Sometimes it is not possible to identify each state uniquely using the variables we have in the handshaking expansion.

....;  $xo\uparrow$ ;  $[xi]$ ;  $xo\downarrow$ ;  $[\neg xi]$ ; ...

Solution: introduce a new variable that has different values in the two indistinguishable states.

There are several places where the assignment to the state variable can be inserted.

# State variables

Handshaking: vector  $(li, lo, ri, ro)$

```
*[ {X000} [li]; {1000} ro↑; {10X1} [ri]; {1011} ro↓;  
   {10X0} [¬ri]; {1000} lo↑; {X100} [¬li]; {0100} lo↓  
]
```

After state-variable insertion: vector  $(x, li, lo, ri, ro)$

```
x↓;  
*[{0X000} [li]; {01000} ro↑; {010X1} [ri]; {01011} x↑;  
   {11011} ro↓; {110X0} [¬ri]; {11000} lo↑; {1X100} [¬li];  
   {10100} x↓; {00100} lo↓  
]
```

# Production rule generation

HSE:

$x\downarrow;$   
 $*[[li]; ro\uparrow; [ri]; x\uparrow; ro\downarrow; [\neg ri]; lo\uparrow; [\neg li]; x\downarrow; lo\downarrow]$

PRS:

$\neg x \wedge li \mapsto ro\uparrow$   
 $ri \mapsto x\uparrow$   
 $x \mapsto ro\downarrow$   
 $x \wedge \neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$   
 $\neg li \mapsto x\downarrow$   
 $\neg x \mapsto lo\downarrow$

# Symmetrization

$$\begin{aligned}x \wedge \neg ri &\mapsto lo\uparrow \\ \neg x &\mapsto lo\downarrow\end{aligned}$$

Turn into combinational logic:

$$\begin{aligned}ri \vee \neg x &\mapsto lo\downarrow \\ \neg li \vee x &\mapsto ro\downarrow\end{aligned}$$

Why is this legal?

# Symmetrization

Replacing a state-holding operator with a combinational one:

$$\begin{aligned}x \wedge \neg B &\mapsto z\uparrow \\ B &\mapsto z\downarrow\end{aligned}$$

If  $B$  holds as a precondition of  $\neg x$ , we can replace the second rule with:

$$\neg x \vee B \mapsto z\downarrow$$

We must ensure that no new effective firings have been introduced, i.e.,

$$x \vee B \vee \neg z$$

is invariant.

# Operator reduction

The last step consists of grouping together production rules into operators, and identifying standard operators in the production rule set.

$$li \wedge ri \mapsto x\uparrow$$
$$\neg ri \wedge \neg li \mapsto x\downarrow$$

$$\neg x \wedge li \mapsto ro\uparrow$$
$$\neg li \vee x \mapsto ro\downarrow$$

$$x \wedge \neg ri \mapsto lo\uparrow$$
$$ri \vee \neg x \mapsto lo\downarrow$$